arXiv:1107.0847v1 [math.AP] 5 Jul 2011

THE GLASSEY CONJECTURE WITH RADIALLY SYMMETRIC DATA

KUNIO HIDANO, CHENGBO WANG, AND KAZUYOSHI YOKOYAMA

Abstract. In this paper, we verify the Glassey conjecture in the radial case for all spatial dimensions. Moreover, we are able to prove the existence results with low regularity assumption on the initial data and extend the solutions to the sharp lifespan. The main idea is to exploit the trace estimates and KSS type estimates.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Sobolev type estimates 5
3. Space-time L^2 estimates 10
4. Glassey conjecture when $n \geq 3$ 15
4.1. Glassey conjecture when $p > p_c$ and $n \geq 3$ 16
4.2. Glassey conjecture when $p = p_c$ and $n \geq 3$ 18
5. Glassey conjecture when $p < p_c$ and $n \geq 2$ 21
6. Glassey conjecture when $n = 2$, $p > p_c$ 25
References 27

1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$, $p > 1$, $\Box = \partial_t^2 - \Delta$, and a, b be constants. Consider the following nonlinear wave equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Box u = a|\partial_t u|^p + b|\nabla_x u|^p, \ (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x) \in H^2_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x) \in H^1_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{array} \right. . \tag{1.1}$$

Here H^m_{rad} stands for the space of spherically symmetric functions lying in the usual Sobolev space H^m.

In the 1980's, Glassey made the conjecture that the critical exponent for the problem to admit global small solutions is

$$p_c = 1 + \frac{2}{n-1}$$

in [4] (see also Schaeffer [16] and Rammaha [14]). The conjecture has been verified in space dimension $n = 2, 3$ for general data (Hidano and Tsutaya [5] and Tzvetkov

---

Key words and phrases. Glassey conjecture, semilinear wave equations, Morawetz estimates, KSS estimates.

The first author was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No.20540165 and 23540198), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

The second author was supported in part by NSFC 10871175 and 10911120383.

[24] independently) as well as radial data (Sideris [17] for $n = 3$). For higher dimension $n \geq 4$, there are only negative results available (blow up with upper bound on expected sharp lifespan for $p \leq p_c$) in Zhou [25].

The purpose of this paper is to verify this conjecture in the radial case for all spatial dimensions, by proving global existence for $p > p_c$. Moreover, we are able to prove the results with low regularity assumption on the initial data and extend the solutions to the sharp lifespan (for all $1 < p < 1 + 2/(n-2)$).

Before presenting our main results, let us first give a brief review of the history. The problem is scale invariant in the Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{s_c}$ with

$$s_c = \frac{n}{2} + 1 - \frac{1}{p-1} \ .$$

For local well-posedness of the problem, it has been intensively studied at least for $p \in \mathbb{N}$, when the general result requires the initial data lie in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ for $s > \max(s_c, (n+5)/4)$ (see Ponce and Sideris [13], Tataru [23], Fang and Wang [1] and references therein). If $p \geq 3$ or $p = 2$ with $n \geq 4$, the problem is locally well-posed in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ for $s > s_c$, when the initial data have radial symmetry or certain amount of angular regularity (see Fang and Wang [3] and references therein).

For the long time existence of the solutions with C_0^∞ small data of size $\epsilon > 0$, it is well known also for the case of $p \in \mathbb{N}$ (even for the problem of quasilinear equations). When $p > p_c$, we have global existence. For $p = p_c$, we have almost global existence with lifespan T_ϵ which satisfies

$$\log(T_\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{1-p} \ .$$

Instead, if $p < p_c$, we have long time existence with lifespan

$$T_\epsilon \sim \epsilon^{-\frac{p-1}{1-(n-1)(p-1)/2}},$$

see John and Klainerman [9], Klainerman [10], Sogge [20] and references therein. Moreover, the estimate on the lifespan T_ϵ is sharp for the problem with nonlinearity $|\partial_t u|^p$ (see Rammaha [15] for $p = 2$ and $n = 2, 3$, Zhou [25] for $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p \leq p_c$).

There is not much work on the long time existence with low-regularity small data. In [8], Hidano and Yokoyama proved almost global existence for small $H^2_{\mathrm{rad}} \times H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}$ data when $p = 2$ and $n = 3$. It was generalized to the quasilinear problem in our recent work [6]. If $p \geq 3$ or $p = 2$ with $n \geq 4$, we have global (almost global for $p = 3$ and $n = 2$) in H^s with $s > s_c$ and certain angular regularity (Sterbenz [22] and Fang and Wang [3]).

We will use Λ_i to denote the norm of the initial data,

$$\Lambda_i := \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^i(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \ i = 1, 2 \ .$$

Let $\partial = (\partial_x, \partial_t)$ with $\partial_x = (\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n})$, $x = r\omega$ with $r = |x|$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and $\langle r \rangle = \sqrt{1 + r^2}$. Now we are ready to state our main results. The first result is the global existence theorem for $p > p_c$ and $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $1 + 2/(n-1) < p < 1 + 2/(n-2)$. Consider the nonlinear wave equation* (1.1). *For any choice of s_1, s_2 such that $1/2 \leq s_1 < n/2 - 1/(p-1) < s_2 \leq 1$, there exist constants $C, \epsilon_0 > 0$, such that if*

$$\Lambda_1^{1-s_1}\Lambda_2^{s_1} + \Lambda_1^{1-s_2}\Lambda_2^{s_2} \leq \epsilon_0 \ ,$$

then we have a unique global solution u to (1.1) *satisfying*

$$u \in C([0,\infty); H^2_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,\infty); H^1_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ ,$$

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^\infty([0,\infty);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial u\|_{L^2([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\Lambda_1 \ ,$$

$$\|\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty([0,\infty);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^2([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\Lambda_2 \ ,$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{n-2s_2}{4}(p-1) \ , \ \delta' = \frac{1-(s_2-s_1)(p-1)}{2} \ . \tag{1.2}$$

In contrast, when $p = p_c$, we have the almost global existence.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \ge 3$ and $p = 1 + 2/(n-1)$. Consider the nonlinear wave equation* (1.1)*. For any choice of s such that $1/2 < s \le 1$, there exist constants $C, c, \epsilon_0 > 0$, such that if*

$$\epsilon := \Lambda_1^{1/2}\Lambda_2^{1/2} + \Lambda_1^{1-s}\Lambda_2^{s} \le \epsilon_0 \ ,$$

then we have a unique almost global solution u to (1.1) *satisfying*

$$u \in C([0,T_*]; H^2_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T_*]; H^1_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ ,$$

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^\infty([0,T_*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \epsilon^{(p-1)/2}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T_*]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\Lambda_1 \ ,$$

$$\|\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty([0,T_*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \epsilon^{(p-1)/2}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^2([0,T_*]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\Lambda_2 \ ,$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{n-2s}{4}(p-1), \ T_* = \exp(c\epsilon^{1-p}) \ .$$

For the case $1 < p < p_c$, we expect a long time existence of the solution.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \ge 2$ and $1 < p < 1 + 2/(n-1)$. Consider the nonlinear wave equation* (1.1)*. There exist constants $C, c > 0$, such that we have a unique solution u to* (1.1) *satisfying*

$$u \in C([0,T_*]; H^2_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T_*]; H^1_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ ,$$

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^\infty([0,T_*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} + T_*^{\delta-1/2}\|r^{-\delta}\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T_*]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\Lambda_1 \ ,$$

$$\|\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty([0,T_*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} + T_*^{\delta-1/2}\|r^{-\delta}\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^2([0,T_*]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\Lambda_2 \ ,$$

where

$$T_* = c(\Lambda_1^{1/2}\Lambda_2^{1/2})^{-\frac{2(p-1)}{2-(n-1)(p-1)}} \ ,$$

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \frac{(n-1)(p-1)}{2}, & 1 < p < 1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \\ \frac{(n-1)(p-1)}{4}, & 1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \le p < 1 + \frac{2}{n-1} = p_c \ . \end{cases}$$

As can be observed from the statement, for $p < p_c$, we do not require the smallness of the initial data, in contrast to $p \ge p_c$.

Remark 1.1. *In our Theorems, the assumptions posed on the initial data are of "multiplicative form", which is considered as one of the main innovations in this paper. For example, in Theorem 1.3, the quantity $\Lambda_1^{1/2}\Lambda_2^{1/2}$ is in fact scale-invariant, and it scales like the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{3/2}$. The assumptions in the other two Theorems are almost critical, which scale like $\dot{H}^{3/2} \cap \dot{H}^{3/2+\epsilon}$ for $p = p_c$ and $\dot{H}^{s_c-\epsilon} \cap \dot{H}^{s_c+\epsilon}$ for $p > p_c$, with the critical scaling regularity $s_c = n/2 + 1 - 1/(p-1)$. One of the advantages of using the "multiplicative form" is that, for $p \ge p_c$, even if Λ_1 is not so small, we still have* (*almost*) *global solutions when Λ_2 is sufficiently small.*

Here, we would like to point out an interesting similarity between the Glassey conjecture and the Strauss conjecture. Recall that for the Strauss conjecture, where the nonlinearity is $|u|^p$, we find similar phenomena. Besides the critical regularity $s_c = n/2-2/(p-1)$, there is one more Sobolev regularity, namely $s_d = 1/2-1/p$ (see Sogge [20] Section IV.4), as far as the radially symmetric functions are concerned. The critical exponent $p = p_0$ for this problem to have global small solutions is given by the positive root of the equation

$$(n-1)p^2-(n+1)p-2=0\ .$$

There is an interesting relation between these two facts: if $p > 1$, we see that

$$s_c > s_d \text{ if and only if } p > p_0\ ,$$

and the sharp lifespan for $1 < p < p_0$ has the order $\epsilon^{1/(s_c-s_d)}$.

Interestingly enough, for the Glassey conjecture, the index $3/2$ plays the same role as s_d. We have $s_c > 3/2$ if and only if $p > p_c$ for $p > 1$, and the sharp lifespan T_* has also the order $\epsilon^{1/(s_c-3/2)}$ for $p < p_c$. These observations strongly suggest that, for the equation (1.1), by adding certain amount of angular regularity if necessary, the minimal regularity for the problem to be well-posed is

$$\max\left(\frac{3}{2}, s_c\right)\ .$$

When $n = 2$ and $p \ge p_c = 3$, it seems to us that the methods to prove the preceding theorems are not sufficient to give satisfactory results. In spite of that, we can use the generalized Strichartz estimates of Smith, Sogge and Wang [19] to prove the following global result for $p > p_c$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $n = 2$ *and* $p > 3$. *Consider the nonlinear wave equation* (1.1). *There exist constants* $C, \epsilon_0 > 0$, *such that if*

$$\epsilon := \Lambda_1^{1/(p-1)}\Lambda_2^{1-1/(p-1)} \le \epsilon_0\ ,$$

then we have a unique global solution u *to* (1.1) *satisfying*

$$u \in C_tH^2_{\rm rad}\cap C^1_tH^1_{\rm rad},\ \|\partial u\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \le C\Lambda_1,\ \|\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \le C\Lambda_2,\ \|\partial u\|_{L^{p-1}_tL^\infty_x} \le C\epsilon\ .$$

Remark 1.2. *For* $p = p_c$ *and* $n = 2$, *it has been proved in Fang and Wang* [3] *that the problem has a unique almost global solution with almost critical regularity for small data, which is not necessarily radial. A similar result for* $p > 3$ *and* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *has also been obtained there.*

This paper is organized as follows. At the end of this section, we list our basic notation. In the next section, we give several Sobolev type estimates related with the trace estimates. In Section 3, we prove some space-time L^2 estimates, which are variants of the Morawetz-KSS estimates. In Sections 4 and 5, we give the proof of the (almost) global results for $n \ge 3$ (Theorems 1.1 and 1.2) and the scale-supercritical result for $n \ge 2$ (Theorems 1.3), based on the results from Sections 2 and 3. In the last section, a simple proof for $p > p_c$ and $n = 2$ (Theorem 1.4) is provided, by using the generalized Strichartz estimates of [19].

Notation. Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, $\delta' < \delta$. We denote $\mathrm{D} = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ and the homogeneous Sobolev norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot H^s} = \|\mathrm{D}^s u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\ .$$

The homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot H^s$ with $s<n/2$ is defined as the completion of C_0^∞ with respect to the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot H^s}$.

For fixed $T>0$, we will use the following notation. We use $\|\cdot\|_{E_i}$ $(i=1,2)$ to denote the energy norm of order i,

$$\|u\|_E=\|u\|_{E_1}=\|\partial u\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\ ,$$

$$\|u\|_{E_2}=\|\partial_x\partial u\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\ .$$

We will use $\|\cdot\|_{LE}$ to denote the local energy norm,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{LE}=\|u\|_{LE_1}=\ &\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &+\|r^{-1-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}u\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &+(\log(2+T))^{-1/2}\left\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\left(|\partial u|+\frac{|u|}{r}\right)\right\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &+T^{\delta-1/2}\left\|r^{-\delta}\left(|\partial u|+\frac{|u|}{r}\right)\right\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\ .\end{aligned}$$

Here, when $n\le 2$, we will assume that there are only terms about ∂u. On the basis of the space LE, we can define $\|u\|_{LE_2}=\|\partial_x u\|_{LE}$ and

$$LE^*=r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{\delta'-1/2}L^2_{t,x}+(\log(2+T))^{-1/2}r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{\delta-1/2}L^2_{t,x}+T^{\delta-1/2}r^{-\delta}L^2_{t,x}\ ,$$

where $h\in fL^2_{t,x}$ means that $h=fg$ for some $g\in L^2_{t,x}$. When $T=\infty$, by LE norm, we mean

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{LE}=\ &\|u\|_{LE_1}=\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial u\|_{L^2([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &+\|r^{-1-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}u\|_{L^2([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &+\sup_{T>0}(\log(2+T))^{-1/2}\left\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\left(|\partial u|+\frac{|u|}{r}\right)\right\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &+\sup_{T>0}T^{\delta-1/2}\left\|r^{-\delta}\left(|\partial u|+\frac{|u|}{r}\right)\right\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\ .\end{aligned}$$

2. Sobolev type estimates

In this section, we give several Sobolev type estimates related with the trace estimates.

First, we state a variant of the Hardy inequality.

Lemma 2.1 (Hardy's inequality)**.** *Let* $n\ge 2$ *and* $0\le s\le 1$ $(s<1$ *for* $n=2)$. *Then we have*

$$\|r^{-s}u\|_{L^2_x}\le C\|u\|_{L^2}^{1-s}\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2}^{s} \tag{2.1}$$

for any $u\in H^1$.

Proof. We only need to give the proof for $u \in C_0^\infty$. First, we prove (2.1) for $s \geq 1/2$. Since $s < n/2$ and $s \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r^{-s}u\|_{L_x^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{-2s}|u(r\omega)|^2 r^{n-1} dr d\omega \\
&= \frac{1}{n-2s} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty |u(r\omega)|^2 \partial_r r^{n-2s} dr d\omega \\
&= -\frac{1}{n-2s} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \partial_r(|u(r\omega)|^2) r^{n-2s} dr d\omega \\
&\leq \frac{2}{n-2s} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{1-2s}|u||\partial_r u| r^{n-1} dr d\omega \\
&\leq \frac{2}{n-2s} \|r^{1-2s}u\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{n-2s} \|(r^{-s}|u|)^{(2s-1)/s}|u|^{(1-s)/s}\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{n-2s} \|r^{-s}u\|_{L_x^2}^{(2s-1)/s} \|u\|_{L_x^2}^{(1-s)/s} \|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2} ,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the Hölder inequality in the last step. This gives us the required estimates with $C = (2/(n-2s))^s$ and $s \geq 1/2$. The case $s = 0$ is trivial. For $s \in (0, 1/2)$, we can use the result for $s = 1/2$ to prove the estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r^{-s}u\|_{L_x^2} &= \left\| \left(r^{-1/2}|u|\right)^{2s} |u|^{1-2s} \right\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\leq \left\| \left(r^{-1/2}|u|\right)^{2s} \right\|_{L_x^{1/s}} \left\| |u|^{1-2s} \right\|_{L_x^{2/(1-2s)}} \\
&= \left\| r^{-1/2}u \right\|_{L_x^2}^{2s} \|u\|_{L_x^2}^{1-2s} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2}{n-1}\right)^s \|u\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s} \|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2}^s .
\end{aligned}$$

■

With the help of the Hardy inequality, it will be easy to prove trace estimates.

Lemma 2.2 (Trace estimates)**.** *Let $n \geq 2$. If $1/2 \leq s \leq 1$ (and $s < 1$ for $n = 2$), then*

$$\|r^{n/2-s}u\|_{L_r^\infty L_\omega^2} \leq C\|u\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s}\|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2}^s \tag{2.2}$$

for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, if $s = 1/2$, we have

$$\|r^{(n-1)/2}u\|_{L_r^\infty L_\omega^2} \leq C\|u\|_{L_x^2}^{1/2}\|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2}^{1/2} . \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. We only need to give the proof for $u \in C_0^\infty$. The assumptions on s tell us that $n-2s>0$, $0 \le 2s-1 \le 1$ and $2s-1<n/2$. Then by using (2.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} R^{n-2s}\|u(R\omega)\|_{L^2_\omega}^2 &= -R^{n-2s}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_R^\infty \partial_r|u(r\omega)|^2 dr d\omega \\ &\le 2\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_0^\infty r^{n-2s}|u||\partial_r u| dr d\omega \\ &= 2\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_0^\infty r^{1-2s}|u||\partial_r u| r^{n-1} dr d\omega \\ &\le 2\|r^{1-2s}u\|_{L^2_x}\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2_x} \\ &\le C\|u\|_{L^2_x}^{2-2s}\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2_x}^{2s} , \end{aligned}$$

with C independent of $R>0$. This completes the proof. ■

We will also need to use the following variant of the trace estimates for the proof of Theorem 1.3 in the case of $n=2$ and $2 \le p < 3$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n \ge 2$. If $s \ge 0$, then*

$$\|r^s u\|_{L_r^\infty L_\omega^2} \le \sqrt{2}\|r^{s-(n-1)/2}u\|_{L^2_x}^{1/2}\|r^{s-(n-1)/2}\partial_x u\|_{L^2_x}^{1/2} , \tag{2.4}$$

for any u such that the right hand side is finite.

Proof. If $u \in C_0^\infty$, this inequality follows from a simple application of integration by parts and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|r^s u\|_{L^2_\omega}^2 &= r^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}|u(r\omega)|^2 d\omega \\ &= -r^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_r^\infty \partial_R|u(R\omega)|^2 dR d\omega \\ &\le 2\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_r^\infty R^{2s}|u(R\omega)||\partial_R u(R\omega)| dR d\omega \\ &\le 2\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_0^\infty R^{2s-(n-1)}|u(R\omega)||\partial_R u(R\omega)| R^{n-1} dR d\omega \\ &\le 2\|r^{s-(n-1)/2}u\|_{L^2_x}\|r^{s-(n-1)/2}\partial_r u\|_{L^2_x} . \end{aligned}$$

Here the condition $s \ge 0$ is used to control r^{2s} by R^{2s}.

In general, if $u \in r^{(n-1)/2-s}L^2_x$ and $\partial_x u \in r^{(n-1)/2-s}L^2_x$, we only need to construct a C_0^∞ sequence which is convergent to u in the corresponding norm. Define

$$u_{l,m}(x) := \psi_l(x)(\rho_m * u)(x) ,$$

where $\psi_l(x)=\psi(x/l)$, $\rho_m(x)=m^n\rho(mx)$, $\psi, \rho \in C_0^\infty$, $\rho \ge 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\rho(x)dx = 1$ and $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ for $|x|<1$. We recall the n-dimensional version of (4.2) of Lemma 4.2 in our previous paper [6],

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\rho_m(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha}dy \le C|x|^{-\alpha},\ \alpha < n , \tag{2.5}$$

where the constant C is independent of $m \ge 1$.

We claim that there exists a function $m=m(l)$ such that $u_{l,m(l)} \to u$ in $r^{(n-1)/2-s}L^2_x$ as $l \to \infty$. If it is true, then we also have $(\partial_x u)_{l,m(l)} \to \partial_x u$ in

$r^{(n-1)/2-s}L^2_x$. Notice that

$$\partial_x u_{l,m} = \frac{1}{l}(\partial_x\psi)\left(\frac{x}{l}\right)(\rho_m * u)(x) + (\partial_x u)_{l,m}(x)\ .$$

For the first term, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|r^{s-(n-1)/2}\frac{1}{l}(\partial_x\psi)\left(\frac{x}{l}\right)(\rho_m * u)(x)\|_{L^2_x} \\
= & \left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{s-(n-1)/2}\frac{1}{l}(\partial_x\psi)\left(\frac{x}{l}\right)\rho_m(x-y)u(y)dy\right\|_{L^2_x} \\
\le & \frac{C}{l}\left\|\||x|^{s-(n-1)/2}\rho_m^{1/2}(x-y)u(y)\|_{L^2_y}\|\rho_m^{1/2}(x-y)\|_{L^2_y}\right\|_{L^2_x} \\
\le & \frac{C}{l}\||x|^{s-(n-1)/2}\rho_m^{1/2}(x-y)u(y)\|_{L^2_yL^2_x} \\
\le & \frac{C}{l}\||y|^{s-(n-1)/2}u(y)\|_{L^2_y} \to 0\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

as $l \to \infty$, where we have used the inequality (2.5) with $\alpha = n-1-2s$ and the fact that $s > -1/2$. This gives us the convergence of $\partial_x u_{l,m(l)}$ to $\partial_x u$.

To complete the proof, it remains to prove the claim. Observe that

$$u_{l,m} - u = \psi_l(x)\left((\rho_m * u)(x) - u(x)\right) + (\psi_l(x)-1)u(x)\ .$$

For the second term, since

$$r^{s-(n-1)/2}(\psi_l(x)-1)u(x) \to 0 \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

as $l \to \infty$, and

$$|r^{s-(n-1)/2}(\psi_l(x)-1)u(x)|^2 \le C|r^{s-(n-1)/2}u(x)|^2 \in L^1\ ,$$

we see that, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, $(\psi_l(x)-1)u(x) \to 0$ in $r^{(n-1)/2-s}L^2_x$ as $l \to \infty$.

We only need to control the first term $\psi_l(x)\left((\rho_m * u)(x) - u(x)\right)$. Since $r^{s-(n-1)/2}u \in L^2_x$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous function g such that

$$\operatorname{supp} g \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : R_1 \le |x| \le R_2\}$$

for some $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$, and

$$\|r^{s-(n-1)/2}u - g\|_{L^2} \le \epsilon\ .$$

To deal with the term $\psi_l(x)\left((\rho_m * u)(x) - u(x)\right)$, we rewrite it as follows

$$\psi_l(x)\left((\rho_m * u)(x) - u(x)\right) = \psi_l(x)\left(\rho_m * (u-G) + (\rho_m * G - G) + G - u\right)\ ,$$

where $G(x) := r^{-s+(n-1)/2}g$. We easily see that

$$\|r^{s-(n-1)/2}\psi_l(x)(G-u)\|_{L^2} \le C\|g - r^{s-(n-1)/2}u\|_{L^2} \le C\epsilon\ .$$

For the term involving $\rho_m * (u - G)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r^{s-(n-1)/2}\psi_l(x)\rho_m * (u-G)(x)\|_{L^2_x} \\ \leq \ & C\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{s-(n-1)/2}\rho_m(x-y)(u-G)(y)dy \right\|_{L^2_x} \\ \leq \ & C\left\| \||x|^{s-(n-1)/2}\rho_m^{1/2}(x-y)(u-G)(y)\|_{L^2_y}\|\rho_m^{1/2}(x-y)\|_{L^2_y} \right\|_{L^2_x} \\ \leq \ & C\||x|^{s-(n-1)/2}\rho_m^{1/2}(x-y)(u-G)(y)\|_{L^2_y L^2_x} \\ \leq \ & C\||y|^{s-(n-1)/2}(u-G)(y)\|_{L^2_y} \leq C\epsilon \ , \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality (2.5) with $\alpha = n - 1 - 2s$ and the fact that $s > -1/2$.

Finally, we consider the term involving $(\rho_m * G - G)$. Note that G is a uniformly continuous function,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\rho_m * G)(x) - G(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_y} \rho_m(x-y)(G(y) - G(x))dy \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{|y-x|<C/m, x,y\in \text{supp}G} |G(y) - G(x)| \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $m \to \infty$. Since supp $\psi_l \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < Cl\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r^{s-(n-1)/2}\psi_l(x)((\rho_m * G)(x) - G(x))\|_{L^2} \\ \leq \ & C\|r^{s-(n-1)/2}((\rho_m * G)(x) - G(x))\|_{L^2(|x|<Cl)} \\ \leq \ & Cl^{s+1/2} \sup_{|y-x|<C/m, x,y\in \text{supp}G} |G(y) - G(x)| \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $m \to \infty$, for any fixed l. This completes the proof. ■

As we may observe, all these estimates hold for general functions. Typically, we will apply these estimates to ∂u, which is not radial, even if u is radial. This is the main reason for us to state all the estimates above involving the L^2_ω norm. In this way, as we can see in the following lemma, we can easily control $\partial_x u$ and $\partial_r u$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u = u(x)$ be a radially symmetric function. Then*

$$|\partial_x u| = |\partial_r u| = A_{n-1}^{-1/2}\|\partial_x u\|_{L^2_\omega} \tag{2.6}$$

with $A_{n-1} = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$.

The proof is just a simple calculation. Since u is radial, we see $\partial_r u$ is radial. Further,

$$\partial_x u = \frac{x}{r}\partial_r u, \ |\partial_x u| = |\frac{x}{r}||\partial_r u| = |\partial_r u| \ ,$$

and

$$\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2_\omega} = A_{n-1}^{1/2}|\partial_r u| \ .$$

Thus,

$$|\partial_x u| = |\partial_r u| = A_{n-1}^{-1/2}\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2_\omega} = A_{n-1}^{-1/2}\|\partial_x u\|_{L^2_\omega} \ .$$

3. Space-time L^2 estimates

In this section, we prove the space-time L^2 estimates, which are variants of the Morawetz-KSS estimates.

Consider the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \Box u = F,\ (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x),\ \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x)\ . \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1 (KSS type estimates)**.** *Let $n \geq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1/2$ and $\delta' < \delta$. For any solution $u = u(t,x)$ to the wave equation* (3.1)*, we have the following inequality*

$$\|u\|_E + \|u\|_{LE} \leq C(\|\partial_x u_0\|_{L^2_x} + \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} + \|F\|_{L^1_t L^2_x})\ , \tag{3.2}$$

where C is independent of $T > 0$ and the functions $u_0 \in H^1$, $u_1 \in L^2$ and $F \in L^1_t L^2_x$.

This is a standard estimate now. The estimates of this type together with the application to nonlinear wave equations originate from the work of Keel, Smith and Sogge [11]. The variants with LE norm including the homogeneous weight $r^{-\delta}$ are due to Hidano and Yokoyama [7]. Here, for completeness, we give a proof.

Proof. To begin the proof, let us recall the classical local energy estimates of Smith-Sogge (Lemma 2.2 in [18])

$$\|\beta(x)e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{n,\gamma,\beta}\|f\|_{\dot H^\gamma}\ , \tag{3.3}$$

for $\beta \in C_0^\infty$ and $2\gamma \leq n-1$. The inequality (3.2) follows from this inequality with $\gamma = 0$ (and $\gamma = 1$ for $n \geq 3$), together with the energy estimate.

First, owing to the Duhamel principle and a standard scaling argument, it is enough to prove the following six inequalities

$$\|r^{-\delta}e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2_x}\ ,\ 0 \leq \delta < 1/2\ , \tag{3.4}$$

$$(\log(2+T))^{-1/2}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2_x}\ ,\ \delta < 1/2\ , \tag{3.5}$$

$$\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2_x}\ ,\ \delta' < \delta < 1/2\ , \tag{3.6}$$

$$\|r^{-1-\delta}e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot H^1_x}\ ,\ 0 \leq \delta < 1/2\ , n \geq 3\ , \tag{3.7}$$

$$(\log(2+T))^{-1/2}\|r^{-1-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot H^1_x}\ ,\ \delta < 1/2\ , n \geq 3 \tag{3.8}$$

$$\|r^{-1-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot H^1_x}\ ,\ \delta' < \delta < 1/2\ , n \geq 3\ . \tag{3.9}$$

We begin by the proof of the first three inequalities for $r \leq 1$ and (3.6) for $r > 1$. From (3.3) with $\gamma = 0$ and $n \geq 1$, we see that

$$\|e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{r\leq 1\})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}\ .$$

A standard scaling argument leads us to

$$\sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-j/2}\|e^{it\mathrm{D}}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{r\leq 2^j\})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}\ , \tag{3.10}$$

and so for any $\delta < 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r^{-\delta} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{r\le 1\})} &\le C\left(2^{(1/2-\delta)j} 2^{-j/2} \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{2^{j-1}<r\le 2^j\})}\right)_{l^2_{j:j\le 0}} \\
&\le C \sup_{j\le 0} 2^{-j/2} \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{2^{j-1}<r\le 2^j\})} \\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2} .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any $\delta' < \delta < 1/2$, since $r \le \langle r\rangle$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|r^{-\delta} \langle r\rangle^{\delta'-1/2} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{r\ge 1\})} \\
\le\ & \|r^{\delta'-\delta-1/2} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{r\ge 1\})} \\
\le\ & C\left(2^{(\delta'-\delta)j} 2^{-j/2} \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{2^{j-1}\le r\le 2^j\})}\right)_{l^2_{j:j\ge 1}} \\
\le\ & C \sup_{j\ge 1} 2^{-j/2} \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{2^{j-1}\le r\le 2^j\})} \\
\le\ & C\|f\|_{L^2} ,
\end{aligned}$$

which is (3.6) for $r > 1$.

It remains to prove (3.4) and (3.5) for $r > 1$. For (3.4), because of the assumption $\delta \ge 0$, we can easily get by the energy estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r^{-\delta} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2([0,1]\times\{r>1\})} &\le \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\le \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^\infty([0,1];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2_x} .
\end{aligned}$$

For (3.5) with $r > 1$, we consider $1 \le r \le T$ and $r \ge T$ separately. For $r \ge T$, since $\delta - 1/2 < 0$ and $r \le \langle r\rangle$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r^{-\delta} \langle r\rangle^{\delta-1/2} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\{r\ge T\})} &\le \|r^{-1/2} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\{r\ge T\})} \\
&\le T^{-1/2} \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\le \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2_x} .
\end{aligned}$$

Now we give the estimate of (3.5) for $1 \le r \le T$. By (3.10) and the elementary inequality $2^{[10\log(2+T)]} \ge T$ (where $[M]$ denotes the greatest integer not greater than M), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|r^{-\delta} \langle r\rangle^{\delta-1/2} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{1\le r\le T\})} \\
\le\ & C\|r^{-1/2} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{1\le r\le T\})} \\
\le\ & C\left(2^{-j/2} \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{2^{j-1}\le r\le 2^j\})}\right)_{l^2_{j:1\le j\le 10\log(2+T)}} \\
\le\ & C(\log(2+T))^{1/2} \sup_j 2^{-j/2} \|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{2^{j-1}\le r\le 2^j\})} \\
\le\ & C(\log(2+T))^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2_x} .
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the first three inequalities.

The inequalities (3.7)-(3.9) follow from basically the same proof, by using (3.3) with $\gamma = 1$ and Hardy's inequality. For example, to prove (3.7) for $n \ge 3$, we use

(3.3) with $\gamma = 1$ (since $1 \le (n-1)/2$ for $n \ge 3$), which tells us that

$$\|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{r\le 1\})} \le C\|f\|_{\dot H^1} \ .$$

A standard scaling argument leads us to

$$\sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-3j/2}\|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{r\le 2^j\})} \le C\|f\|_{\dot H^1} \ , \tag{3.11}$$

and so for any $\delta < 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r^{-\delta-1} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{r\le 1\})} &\le C\left(2^{(1/2-\delta)j}2^{-3j/2}\|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{2^{j-1}<r\le 2^j\})}\right)_{l^2_{j:j\le 0}} \\
&\le C\sup_{j\le 0} 2^{-3j/2}\|e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\{2^{j-1}<r\le 2^j\})} \\
&\le C\|f\|_{\dot H^1} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

For (3.7) with $r > 1$, since $\delta \ge 0$, we can easily get by the energy estimates and Hardy's inequality (2.1) with $s = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r^{-1-\delta} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2([0,1]\times\{r>1\})} &\le \|r^{-1} e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^2([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\le \|\mathrm{D}e^{it\mathrm{D}} f\|_{L^\infty([0,1];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
&\le C\|f\|_{\dot H^1_x} \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (3.7). ■

When $n \ge 3$, we can prove the following inhomogeneous KSS type estimates with LE^* norm on F.

Lemma 3.2 (Inhomogeneous KSS type estimates)**.** *Let $n \ge 3$, $0 < \delta < 1/2$, and $\delta' < \delta$. For any solution $u = u(t,x)$ to the wave equation* (3.1)*, we have the following inequality*

$$\|u\|_E + \|u\|_{LE} \le C(\|\partial_x u_0\|_{L^2_x} + \|u_1\|_{L^2_x} + \|F\|_{LE^*}) \ , \tag{3.12}$$

where C is independent of $T > 0$ and the functions $u_0 \in \dot H^1$, $u_1 \in L^2$ and $F \in LE^*$.

Proof. i) Let us first consider smooth solutions. For such a case, we have the space-time L^2 estimates even for certain small perturbations of the Minkowski metric (see [21], [12] and our previous work [6]). Recall that using Lemma 2.3 and (2.30) of [6], we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^{2\delta-1}\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\, 1<r<T\}}\Big(\frac{|\partial u|^2}{r^{2\delta}} + \frac{u^2}{r^{2+2\delta}}\Big)dxdt \\
&+\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1}\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\, 1<r<T\}}\Big(\frac{|\partial u|^2}{r} + \frac{u^2}{r^3}\Big)dxdt \\
&+\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\, 1<r<\infty\}}\Big(\frac{|\partial u|^2}{r^{1+2\delta-2\delta'}} + \frac{u^2}{r^{3+2\delta-2\delta'}}\Big)dxdt \\
&\quad\le\ C(\|\nabla u_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\
&\qquad +C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(|\partial u||F| + \frac{|u||F|}{\langle r\rangle}\right)dxdt \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

for any smooth solution u to the wave equations (3.1), $T > 1$, $\delta' < \delta$ and $0 < \delta < 1/2$. We will also need a slight variant of Lemma 2.2 of [6]. Observe that if we choose the function

$$f(r) = \left(\frac{r}{r+\lambda}\right)^k$$

with $k = 1 - 2\delta \in (0,1)$ and $\lambda > 0$, then the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [6] will tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{2\delta-1}\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\, r<\lambda\}}\Big(\frac{|\partial u|^2}{r^{2\delta}}+\frac{u^2}{r^{2+2\delta}}\Big)dxdt \\ \leq\ & C(\|\nabla u_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|u_1\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\ & +C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(|\partial u||F|+\frac{|u||F|}{r^{2\delta}(r+\lambda)^{1-2\delta}}\right)dxdt, \end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

where the constant C is independent of $\lambda > 0$. We only need to check the new relations (instead of (2.15) and (2.16) there)

$$\frac{f}{r} - f'(r) \geq (1-k)\frac{r^{k-1}}{(\lambda+r)^k},\ \Delta\left(\frac{f}{r}\right) \leq -\frac{k(1-k)\lambda^2}{r^{3-k}(\lambda+r)^{2+k}},$$

and substitute these new relations to (2.12) and (2.17) there.

On the basis of (3.13) and (3.14), together with the standard energy estimate

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial u|^2dx \leq C\left(\|\partial u(0)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial u||F|dxdt\right)\ ,\tag{3.15}$$

it will be easy to prove the required estimates for the smooth solutions. Suppose $T > 1$ first. By applying (3.15) to the integrals over $\{r > T\}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_E^2 + \|u\|^2_{LE(r>T)} \\ \leq\ & \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial u|^2dx + T^{2\delta-1}\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\, r>T\}}\Big(\frac{|\partial u|^2}{r^{2\delta}}+\frac{u^2}{r^{2+2\delta}}\Big)dxdt \\ & +\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1}\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\, r>T\}}\Big(\frac{|\partial u|^2}{r}+\frac{u^2}{r^3}\Big)dxdt \\ & +\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\, r>T\}}\Big(\frac{|\partial u|^2}{r^{1+2\delta-2\delta'}}+\frac{u^2}{r^{3+2\delta-2\delta'}}\Big)dxdt \\ \leq\ & \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial u|^2dx + 3T^{-1}\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\, r>T\}}\Big(|\partial u|^2+\frac{u^2}{r^2}\Big)dxdt \\ \leq\ & C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(|\partial u|^2+\frac{u^2}{r^2}\Big)dx \\ \leq\ & C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial u|^2dx \\ \leq\ & C(\|\nabla u_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|u_1\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial u||F|dxdt), \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the Hardy inequality (2.1) with $s = 1$. For the integral over $\{r < T\}$, we use (3.13) and (3.14) with $\lambda = 1$ to get

$$\|u\|^2_{LE(r<T)} \le C(\|\nabla u_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) + C\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\partial u||F| + \frac{|u||F|}{r}\right) dxdt.$$

Then an application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields the required estimate (3.12) for $T > 1$.

To prove the general result for any $T > 0$, we only need to control the term

$$A[u] = T^{\delta-1/2} \left\| r^{-\delta} \left(|\partial u| + \frac{|u|}{r}\right)\right\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for $T \in (0, 1]$. To control this, we only need to apply (3.14) with $\lambda = T$ and (3.15) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A[u]^2 + \|u\|^2_E &= T^{2\delta-1} \left\| r^{-\delta} \left(|\partial u| + \frac{|u|}{r}\right)\right\|^2_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u\|^2_E \\ &\le CT^{2\delta-1} \left\| r^{-\delta} \left(|\partial u| + \frac{|u|}{r}\right)\right\|^2_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n:r<T)} \\ &\quad + CT^{-1} \left\| |\partial u| + \frac{|u|}{r}\right\|^2_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n:r>T)} + \|u\|^2_E \\ &\le CT^{2\delta-1} \left\| r^{-\delta} \left(|\partial u| + \frac{|u|}{r}\right)\right\|^2_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n:r<T)} \\ &\quad + C \left\| |\partial u| + \frac{|u|}{r}\right\|^2_{L^\infty_t([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ &\le C(\|\nabla u_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\ &\quad + C\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\partial u||F| + \frac{|u||F|}{r}\right) dxdt\ . \end{aligned}$$

Once again, an application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives us the required estimate (3.12) for $T \le 1$.

ii) We next consider the case where u is not smooth. By Lemma 3.1, we only need to prove for the case $u_0 = u_1 = 0$. Fix $T \in (0, \infty)$. Observe that for $0 < \delta < 1/2$, we have the Hardy inequality

$$\|r^{-\delta} u\|_{L^2} \le C\|u\|_{\dot H^\delta} \le C\|u\|_{H^\delta},$$

which means $r^{-\delta}L^2_x \subset H^{-\delta}$, and so $LE^* \subset L^1_t H^{-\delta}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $T < \infty$. Thus by the standard existence and uniqueness result of the linear wave equation, we have $u \in C_t H^{1-\delta}_x \cap C^1_t H^{-\delta}_x([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We claim that there exists a sequence of smooth functions F_k such that $F_k \to F$ in LE^*. If it is true, then u_k are Cauchy sequence in $E_1 \cap LE_1$, and $u_k \to u$ in $C_t H^{1-\delta}_x \cap C^1_t H^{-\delta}_x([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. This tells us that $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges to u in $E_1 \cap LE_1$, and so

$$\|u\|_{E_1\cap LE_1} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \|u_k\|_{E_1\cap LE_1} \le C \lim_{k\to\infty} \|F_k\|_{LE^*} = C\|F\|_{LE^*}\ ,$$

which implies (3.12).

To complete the proof, it remains to prove the claim.

Proof of the claim. Without loss of generality, we give the proof for $F \in r^{-\delta} L^2_{t,x}$. Let $\tilde{F}(t,x)$ be the zero extension of $r^\delta F \in L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\phi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function with the properties $\phi \ge 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\phi(x)dx = 1$, $\phi = 1$ near 0. We will also choose its one-dimensional counterpart $\psi(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Define $\phi_k(x) = 2^{kn}\phi(2^k x)$ and $\psi_k(t) = 2^k\psi(2^k t)$. Then the standard results of approximations of the identity give us

$$\tilde{F}_k = (\phi_k(x)\psi_k(t)) *_{t,x} \tilde{F} \to \tilde{F} \text{ in } L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n) ,$$

that is,

$$F_k^1 := r^{-\delta}\tilde{F}_k \to F \text{ in } r^{-\delta}L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n) .$$

Notice that F_k^1 is smooth except at $x = 0$. It suffices to set $F_k(t,x) = (1-\phi(2^k x))F_k^1(t,x)$, which is smooth for any t, x. Indeed, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|r^\delta(F_k - F)\|_{L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
= \; & \|\tilde{F}_k(1-\phi(2^k x)) - \tilde{F}\|_{L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
\le \; & \|(\tilde{F}_k - \tilde{F})(1-\phi(2^k x))\|_{L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\phi(2^k x)\tilde{F}\|_{L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
\le \; & \|\tilde{F}_k - \tilde{F}\|_{L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\phi(2^k x)\tilde{F}\|_{L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \to 0 \text{ as } k\to\infty .
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the claim and hence that of Lemma 3.2. ■

4. Glassey conjecture when $n \ge 3$

Now we are ready to present our proof of the Glassey conjecture for radial initial data.

Let us first formulate the setup of the proof for existence and uniqueness. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
X_T : \; = \{ \; & u \in C([0,T]; H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty([0,T]; H^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \\
& \partial_t u \in C([0,T]; L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty([0,T]; H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \\
& \|u\|_{E_1\cap E_2} + \|u\|_{LE_1\cap LE_2} < \infty\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

For $R_1 > 0$ and $R_2 > 0$, we next define

$$X(R_1, R_2; T) := \{u \in X_T : \|u\|_{E_i} + \|u\|_{LE_i} \le R_i, i = 1, 2\} .$$

Endowed with

$$\rho(u,v) := \|u-v\|_{E_1} + \|u-v\|_{LE_1} , \tag{4.2}$$

it is easy to check that $X(R_1, R_2; T)$ is complete with the metric $\rho(u,v)$.

For fixed $(u_0, u_1) \in H^2_{\mathrm{rad}} \times H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}$, we define the iteration map

$$\Phi[u](t) := u^{(0)}(t) + I[N[u]] , \tag{4.3}$$

where $u^{(0)}(t) = \cos(t\mathrm{D})u_0 + \mathrm{D}^{-1}\sin(t\mathrm{D})u_1$ is the solution of the linear Cauchy problem,

$$N[u] := a|\partial_t u|^p + b|\nabla_x u|^p , \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$I[F] := \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)\mathrm{D})}{\mathrm{D}} F(s)ds . \tag{4.5}$$

For the nonlinearity $N[u]$, we have the properties

$$|\partial_x^\alpha N[u]| \leq C|\partial u|^{p-1}|\partial_x^\alpha \partial u| \ , \ |\alpha| \leq 1, \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$|N[u]-N[v]| \leq C(|\partial u|^{p-1}+|\partial v|^{p-1})|\partial(u-v)| \ . \tag{4.7}$$

Notice that $v=\Phi[u]$ is defined as the solution to the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Box v = N[u], \ (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n \\ v(0,x)=u_0(x), \ \partial_t v(0,x)=u_1(x) \ . \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

We aim at showing that Φ is a contraction mapping of $X(R_1,R_2;T)$, if we choose R_1, R_2 and T suitably.

4.1. **Glassey conjecture when $p>p_c$ and $n\geq 3$.** Consider the nonlinear wave equation (1.1) for $p>p_c$ and $n\geq 3$.

Let us begin with the estimate of the homogeneous solution, $u^{(0)}$, which follows directly from the application of Lemma 3.1 to u and $\partial_x u$ with $F=0$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n\geq 2$. There is a positive constant C_1, independent of $T>0$, such that the following estimates hold*

$$\|u^{(0)}\|_{E_1}+\|u^{(0)}\|_{LE_1} \leq C_1(\|\partial_x u_0\|_{L^2}+\|u_1\|_{L^2}) \ , \tag{4.9}$$

$$\|u^{(0)}\|_{E_2}+\|u^{(0)}\|_{LE_2} \leq C_1(\|\partial_x^2 u_0\|_{L^2}+\|\partial_x u_1\|_{L^2}) \ . \tag{4.10}$$

Next, we give the estimate for the inhomogeneous part.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $p_c<p<1+2/(n-2)$ and $n\geq 3$, $u\in X_\infty$ and s_1, s_2 such that $1/2\leq s_1<n/2-1/(p-1)<s_2\leq 1$. Set δ and δ' as in* (1.2)*. Then there is a positive constant C_2, such that the following estimates hold*

$$\|I[N[u]]\|_{E_i\cap LE_i} \leq C_2(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2})^{p-1}\|u\|_{LE_i}, \ i=1,2 \ . \tag{4.11}$$

Moreover, if $u,v\in X_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Phi[u]-\Phi[v]\|_{E_1\cap LE_1} \\ &\leq C_3\|u-v\|_{LE_1} \\ &\times(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2}+\|v\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|v\|_{E_2}^{s_1}+\|v\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|v\|_{E_2}^{s_2})^{p-1} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for some C_3.

Proof. First, by Lemma 2.2, we have for any $s\in[1/2,1]$,

$$\|r^{n/2-s}u\|_{L_r^\infty L_\omega^2} \leq C\|u\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s}\|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2}^s \ .$$

Fix s_1, s_2 such that $1/2\leq s_1<n/2-1/(p-1)<s_2\leq 1$. Then we have

$$\|u(r\omega)\|_{L_\omega^2} \leq Cr^{s_2-n/2}\langle r\rangle^{s_1-s_2}(\|u\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_1}\|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2}^{s_1}+\|u\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_2}\|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2}^{s_2}) \ . \tag{4.13}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have for $u\in X_\infty$,

$$|\partial u| \leq Cr^{s_2-n/2}\langle r\rangle^{s_1-s_2}(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2}) \ . \tag{4.14}$$

From (4.14), (4.6) and (1.2), it is clear that, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{\delta}\langle r\rangle^{1/2-\delta'}\partial_x^{\alpha} N[u]\|_{L^2_x}\\
\le\ & C\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{\delta}\langle r\rangle^{1/2-\delta'}|\partial u|^{p-1}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial u\|_{L^2_x}\\
\le\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2})^{p-1}\\
&\times \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{\delta+(s_2-n/2)(p-1)}\langle r\rangle^{1/2-\delta'+(s_1-s_2)(p-1)}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial u\|_{L^2_x}\\
=\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2})^{p-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial u\|_{L^2_x}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that δ and δ' satisfy $0 < \delta < 1/2$ and $\delta' < \delta$. Now applying Lemma 3.2 to $\partial_x^{\alpha} u$ with $|\alpha| \le 1$ and $u_0 = u_1 = 0$, we have for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|I[N[u]]\|_{E_i\cap LE_i}\\
\le\ & C\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{\delta}\langle r\rangle^{1/2-\delta'}\partial_x^{\alpha} N[u]\|_{L^2([0,\infty);L^2_x)}\\
\le\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2})^{p-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial u\|_{L^2([0,\infty);L^2_x)}\\
\le\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2})^{p-1}\|u\|_{LE_i}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.11). A similar argument with (4.7) instead of (4.6) will yield (4.12). $\blacksquare$

With these two Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 in hand, it will be easy to show Theorem 1.1. Setting

$$\Lambda_i := \|u_0\|_{\dot H^i(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|_{\dot H^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ i = 1, 2\ ,$$

we find by Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 that the mapping Φ, defined by (4.3), is a contraction mapping from $X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; T)$ into itself, for any $T > 0$ provided that

$$C_2(2C_1)^{p-1}(\Lambda_1^{1-s_1}\Lambda_2^{s_1}+\Lambda_1^{1-s_2}\Lambda_2^{s_2})^{p-1} \le 1/2\ , \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$C_3(4C_1)^{p-1}(\Lambda_1^{1-s_1}\Lambda_2^{s_1}+\Lambda_1^{1-s_2}\Lambda_2^{s_2})^{p-1} \le 1/2\ . \tag{4.16}$$

Define a positive constant C_0 by

$$C_0^{-(p-1)} = \max(2C_3(4C_1)^{p-1}, 2C_2(2C_1)^{p-1})\ .$$

Then we see that when

$$\Lambda_1^{1-s_1}\Lambda_2^{s_1}+\Lambda_1^{1-s_2}\Lambda_2^{s_2} \le C_0\ , \tag{4.17}$$

the map Φ is a contraction mapping of $X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; T)$ for any $T > 0$, the global in time unique fixed point $u \in X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; \infty)$ is the solution which we seek.

To complete the proof of Theroem 1.1, we also need to establish the regularity of u, i.e.,

$$\partial_t^i u \in C([0,\infty); H^{2-i}(\mathbb{R}^n)), i = 0, 1, \tag{4.18}$$

and the uniqueness of the solution u.

First, for the problem of regularity, it suffices to show

$$\partial_t^i u \in C([0,\infty); \dot{H}^{2-i}(\mathbb{R}^n)), i = 0, 1 \ .$$

In fact, using the inequalities (4.11), (4.15) and the fact that $u \in LE_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial\partial_x (u(T) - u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ = \ & \|\partial\partial_x (\Phi[u](T) - u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le \ & \|\partial\partial_x I[N[u]](T)\|_{L^2_x} + \|\partial\partial_x (u^{(0)}(T) - u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le \ & C_2(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1} + \|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2})^{p-1} \\ & \times \|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} + o(1) \\ \le \ & \|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} + o(1) = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $T \to 0+$. This proves the continuity at $t = 0$. Recall that our solution satisfies $u = \Phi[u]$, which tells us that we can also view u as the solution to the linear wave equation $\Box v = N[u](t_0 + t)$ with initial data $(u(t_0), \partial_t u(t_0))$ at any other time $t_0 \in (0,\infty)$. Then a similar argument will give us the continuity at any $t \in [0,\infty)$.

Now, we turn to the proof of uniqueness. Assume there exists another solution $v \in X_\infty \cap C_t H^2 \cap C_t^1 H^1$, with the same initial data. Recall that $u, v \in C_t H^2 \cap C_t^1 H^1$. If we restrict these solutions to small enough time interval $[0,T]$, owing to $\partial\partial_x^\alpha (u - v)(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|\partial\partial_x^\alpha v\|_{C([0,T];L^2_x)} \ \le \ & \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} (\|\partial\partial_x^\alpha (u-v)\|_{C([0,T];L^2_x)} + \|\partial\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{C([0,T];L^2_x)}) \\ \le \ & o(1) + 2C_1\Lambda_i \ , \end{aligned}$$

as $T \to 0+$. Using the inequality (4.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial(u - v)\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ = \ & \|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial(\Phi[u] - \Phi[v])\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le \ & C_3\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial(u - v)\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ & \times (\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_1} + \|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s_2} + \|v\|_{E_1}^{1-s_1}\|v\|_{E_2}^{s_1} + \|v\|_{E_1}^{1-s_2}\|v\|_{E_2}^{s_2})^{p-1} \\ \le \ & \frac{3}{4}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta'}\partial(u - v)\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $T > 0$ is small enough, where we have used (4.17) and (4.16). By this we arrive at the conclusion that $u = v$ for $t \in [0,T]$, which shows the uniqueness. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4.2. **Glassey conjecture when $p = p_c$ and $n \ge 3$.**

Consider (1.1) for $p = p_c$ and $n \ge 3$.

The estimate of the homogeneous solution, $u^{(0)}$, is given by Proposition 4.1. We only need to give the estimate for the inhomogeneous part.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $p = p_c$ and $n \ge 3$, $u \in X_T$ and $s \in (1/2, 1]$. Define*

$$\delta = \frac{n - 2s}{4}(p - 1) \ . \tag{4.19}$$

Then there is a positive constant C_4, independent of $T > 0$, such that the following estimates hold

$$\|I[N[u]]\|_{E_i\cap LE_i} \le C_4 \log(2+T)(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1}\|u\|_{LE_i},\ i=1,2\ . \tag{4.20}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi[u]-\Phi[v]\|_{E_1\cap LE_1} & \\ \le C_5 \log(2+T)\|u-v\|_{LE_1} & \\ \times(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s}+\|v\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|v\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|v\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|v\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

for some C_5.

Proof. First, by (4.13) with $s_1 = 1/2$ and $s_2 = s$, we have

$$\|u(r\omega)\|_{L^2_\omega} \le Cr^{s-n/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2-s}(\|u\|_{L^2_x}^{1/2}\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2_x}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{L^2_x}^{1-s}\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2_x}^{s})\ . \tag{4.22}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have for $u \in X_T$,

$$|\partial u| \le Cr^{s-n/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2-s}(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s})\ . \tag{4.23}$$

From (4.23) and (4.6), it is clear that, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1}\|r^\delta\langle r\rangle^{1/2-\delta}\partial_x^\alpha N[u]\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le\ & C\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1}\|r^\delta\langle r\rangle^{1/2-\delta}|\partial u|^{p-1}\partial_x^\alpha\partial u\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1} \\ & \times\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1}\|r^{\delta+(s-n/2)(p-1)}\langle r\rangle^{1/2-\delta+(1/2-s)(p-1)}\partial_x^\alpha\partial u\|_{L^2_x} \\ =\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial_x^\alpha\partial u\|_{L^2_x}\ .\end{aligned}$$

Now applying Lemma 3.2 to $\partial_x^\alpha u$ with $|\alpha| \le 1$ and $u_0 = u_1 = 0$, we have for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I[N[u]]\|_{E_i\cap LE_i} \\ \le\ & C(\log(2+T))^{1/2}\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1}\|r^\delta\langle r\rangle^{1/2-\delta}\partial_x^\alpha N[u]\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & C(\log(2+T))^{1/2}(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1} \\ & \times\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial_x^\alpha\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & C\log(2+T)(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1}\|u\|_{LE_i}\ .\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.20). A similar argument with (4.7) instead of (4.6) will yield (4.21). Here, for later use, we record the following inequality which is a direct consequence of the last one,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} &\|\partial\partial_x I[N[u]](t)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \le\ & C_4(\log(2+T))^{1/2}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial_x\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)}\\ &\times(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1}\ .\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

■

With these two Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 in hand, the proof of Theorem 1.2 proceeds similarly to that of Theorem 1.1. With

$$\Lambda_i := \|u_0\|_{\dot H^i(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|_{\dot H^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ i=1,2\ ,$$

we find by Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 that the mapping Φ, defined by (4.3), is a contraction mapping from $X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; T)$ into itself, for any $T>0$ provided that

$$C_4\log(2+T)(2C_1)^{p-1}(\Lambda_1^{1/2}\Lambda_2^{1/2}+\Lambda_1^{1-s}\Lambda_2^{s})^{p-1}\le 1/2\ ,\tag{4.25}$$

and

$$C_5\log(2+T)(4C_1)^{p-1}(\Lambda_1^{1/2}\Lambda_2^{1/2}+\Lambda_1^{1-s}\Lambda_2^{s})^{p-1}\le 1/2\ .\tag{4.26}$$

Define a positive constant C_6 by

$$C_6^{-1} = \max(2C_5(4C_1)^{p-1}, 2C_4(2C_1)^{p-1})\ ,$$

and set T_* according to

$$\log(2+T_*)(\Lambda_1^{1/2}\Lambda_2^{1/2}+\Lambda_1^{1-s}\Lambda_2^{s})^{p-1} = C_6\ ,$$

which is possible in general only if

$$\epsilon = \Lambda_1^{1/2}\Lambda_2^{1/2}+\Lambda_1^{1-s}\Lambda_2^{s} \ll 1\ .$$

That is

$$T_* = \exp(C_6\epsilon^{1-p}) - 2,\ \epsilon\ll 1\ .\tag{4.27}$$

Since Φ is a contraction mapping in $X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; T_*)$, the unique fixed point $u\in X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; T_*)$ is the solution which we seek.

To complete the proof of Theroem 1.2, we need also to establish the regularity of u, i.e.,

$$\partial_t^i u \in C([0,T_*]; H^{2-i}(\mathbb{R}^n)), i=0,1,\tag{4.28}$$

and the uniqueness of the solution u.

First, for the problem of regularity, it suffices to show

$$\partial_t^i u \in C([0,T_*]; \dot H^{2-i}(\mathbb{R}^n)), i=0,1\ .$$

Indeed, since $u\in LE_2(T_*)$, we know that

$$\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial_x\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T_*];L^2_x)} < \infty\ ,$$

and so

$$\lim_{T\to 0+}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial_x\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} = 0\ .$$

Using the inequality (4.24) and (4.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial\partial_x(u(T)-u(0))\|_{L^2_x}\\
=\ &\|\partial\partial_x(\Phi[u](T)-u(0))\|_{L^2_x}\\
\le\ &\|\partial\partial_x I[N[u]](T)\|_{L^2_x}+\|\partial\partial_x(u^{(0)}(T)-u(0))\|_{L^2_x}\\
\le\ &C_4(\log(2+T))^{1/2}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial_x\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)}\\
&\times(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1}+o(1)\\
\le\ &\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial_x\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)}+o(1)=o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

as $T\to 0+$. This proves the continuity at $t=0$. A similar argument will give us the continuity at any $t\in[0,T_*]$.

Now, we turn to the proof of uniqueness. Assume that there exists another solution $v\in X_{T_*}\cap C_tH^2\cap C_t^1H^1$, with the same initial data. Recall that $u,v\in C_tH^2\cap C_t^1H^1$. If we restrict these solutions to small enough time interval $[0,T]$, owing to $\partial\partial_x^\alpha(u-v)(0)=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\alpha|=i-1}\|\partial\partial_x^\alpha v\|_{C([0,T];L^2_x)}\ &\le\ \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1}(\|\partial\partial_x^\alpha(u-v)\|_{C([0,T];L^2_x)}+\|\partial\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{C([0,T];L^2_x)})\\
&\le\ o(1)+2C_1\Lambda_i\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

as $T\to 0+$. Using the inequality (4.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial(u-v)\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)}\\
=\ &\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial(\Phi[u]-\Phi[v])\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)}\\
\le\ &C_5\log(2+T)\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial(u-v)\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)}\\
&\times(\|u\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|u\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|u\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|u\|_{E_2}^{s}+\|v\|_{E_1}^{1/2}\|v\|_{E_2}^{1/2}+\|v\|_{E_1}^{1-s}\|v\|_{E_2}^{s})^{p-1}\\
\le\ &\frac{3}{4}\|r^{-\delta}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2+\delta}\partial(u-v)\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)},
\end{aligned}$$

provided $T>0$ is small enough, where we have used (4.26). By this, we conclude that $u=v$ for $t\in[0,T]$, which shows the uniqueness. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5. Glassey conjecture when $p<p_c$ and $n\ge 2$

In this section, we aim at giving the proof of Theorem 1.3 for $n\ge 2$. As we will see, the argument in the previous section can be adapted to the scale-supercritical case $p<p_c$, for $n\ge 3$. The argument in the previous section does not apply when $n=2$, owing to the fact that current techniques do not yield the inhomogeneous KSS type estimates (3.12) for $n=2$. Alternatively, applying the homogeneous estimates in Lemma 3.1 gives us the proof.

In this section, by δ in LE norm, we mean

$$\delta=\begin{cases}\frac{(n-1)(p-1)}{2}, & 1<p<1+\frac{1}{n-1}\\ \frac{(n-1)(p-1)}{4}, & 1+\frac{1}{n-1}\le p<1+\frac{2}{n-1}=p_c\ .\end{cases}\tag{5.1}$$

Note that $0<\delta<1/2$.

We aim at showing that Φ is a contraction mapping of $X(R_1,R_2;T)$, if we choose R_1, R_2 and T suitably. As before, the estimate of the homogeneous solution, $u^{(0)}$, is given by Proposition 4.1. We only need to obtain a similar estimate for the inhomogeneous part.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $1 < p < p_c$, $u \in X_T$ *and* δ *as in* (5.1). *Then there is a positive constant* C_7, *independent of* $T > 0$, *such that the following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|I[N[u]]\|_{E_i} + \|I[N[u]]\|_{LE_i} \\ &\quad \le C_7 T^{1-(n-1)(p-1)/2} (\|u\|_{E_1 \cap LE_1} \|u\|_{E_2 \cap LE_2})^{(p-1)/2} \|u\|_{LE_i}, \ i = 1, 2\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Phi[u] - \Phi[v]\|_{E_1 \cap LE_1} \\ \le\ & C_8 T^{1-(n-1)(p-1)/2} (\|u\|_{E_1 \cap LE_1} \|u\|_{E_2 \cap LE_2} + \|v\|_{E_1 \cap LE_1} \|v\|_{E_2 \cap LE_2})^{(p-1)/2} \\ & \times \|u - v\|_{LE_1} \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

for some C_8, *independent of* $T > 0$.

Remark 5.1. *From the proof of* (5.3), *we can extract the following estimates. If* $1 < p < \min(p_c, 2)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r^{-\delta} \partial (\Phi[u] - \Phi[v])\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & C_8 T^{1-(n-1)(p-1)/2} (\|u\|_{E_1} \|u\|_{E_2} + \|v\|_{E_1} \|v\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} \\ & \times \|r^{-\delta} \partial (u - v)\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

If $2 \le p < 3$ *and* $n = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r^{-\delta} (\Phi[u] - \Phi[v])\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & C_8 T^{(3-p)/4} (\|u\|_{E_1} \|u\|_{E_2} + \|v\|_{E_1} \|v\|_{E_2})^{(p-2)/2} \\ & \times \big(\|r^{-\delta} \partial u\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} \|r^{-\delta} \partial \partial_x u\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} \\ & + \|r^{-\delta} \partial v\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} \|r^{-\delta} \partial \partial_x v\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} \big)^{1/2} \\ & \times \|r^{-\delta} \partial (u - v)\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Remark 5.2. *From the proof of* (5.2) *with* $i = 2$, *we can extract the following estimates. If* $1 < p < \min(p_c, 2)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial \partial_x (\Phi[u](T) - u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le\ & \|\partial \partial_x I[N[u]](T)\|_{L^2_x} + \|\partial \partial_x (u^{(0)}(T) - u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le\ & C_7 T^{1-(n-1)(p-1)/2} (\|u\|_{E_1} \|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} T^{\delta - 1/2} \|r^{-\delta} \partial \partial_x u\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} + o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

as $T \to 0+$. *If* $2 \le p < 3$ *and* $n = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial \partial_x (\Phi[u](T) - u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le\ & \|\partial \partial_x I[N[u]](T)\|_{L^2_x} + \|\partial \partial_x (u^{(0)}(T) - u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le\ & C_7 (\|u\|_{E_1} \|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-2)/2} \|r^{-(p-1)/4} \partial u\|^{1/2}_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} \\ & \times \|r^{-(p-1)/4} \partial \partial_x u\|^{3/2}_{L^2([0,T]; L^2_x)} + o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

as $T \to 0+$.

Proof. We will deal with three different cases: $1 < p < 1 + 1/(n-1)$ when $n \ge 2$; $2 \le p < 3$ when $n = 2$; and $1 + 1/(n-1) \le p < p_c$ when $n \ge 3$.

Case i) $1 < p < 1 + 1/(n-1)$ **with** $\delta = (n-1)(p-1)/2$. First, by (2.3) and Lemma 2.4, we have for $u \in X_T$,

$$|\partial u| \le C r^{-(n-1)/2} (\|u\|_{E_1} \|u\|_{E_2})^{1/2} \ . \tag{5.8}$$

By (5.8) and (4.6), it is clear that, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|\partial_x^\alpha N[u]\|_{L^2_x} &\le C \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \||\partial u|^{p-1} \partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2_x} \\ &\le C(\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-(n-1)(p-1)/2} \partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2_x} \\ &= C(\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-\delta} \partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2_x} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now applying Lemma 3.1 to $\partial_x^\alpha u$ with $|\alpha| \le 1$ and $u_0 = u_1 = 0$, we have for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|I[N[u]]\|_{E_i \cap LE_i} \\ \le\ & C \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|\partial_x^\alpha N[u]\|_{L^1([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & CT^{1/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|\partial_x^\alpha N[u]\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & CT^{1/2} (\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-\delta} \partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & CT^{1-\delta} (\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} T^{\delta-1/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-\delta} \partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & CT^{1-\delta} (\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} \|u\|_{LE_i} \ . \end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.2). A similar argument with (4.7) instead of (4.6) will yield (5.3).

Case ii) $1 + 1/(n-1) \le p < p_c$**,** $n \ge 3$ **and** $\delta = (n-1)(p-1)/4$. In this case, we may use Lemma 3.2 instead. Applying it to $\partial_x^\alpha u$ with $|\alpha| \le 1$ and $u_0 = u_1 = 0$, we have for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|I[N[u]]\|_{E_i} + \|I[N[u]]\|_{LE_i} \\ \le\ & CT^{1/2-\delta} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{\delta} \partial_x^\alpha N[u]\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & CT^{1/2-\delta} (\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-(n-1)(p-1)/2} r^{\delta} \partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & CT^{1-2\delta} (\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} T^{\delta-1/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-\delta} \partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ & CT^{1-2\delta} (\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-1)/2} \|u\|_{LE_i} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.8) and (4.6). Using (4.7) instead of (4.6), (5.3) follows similarly.

Case iii) $2 \le p < 3$**,** $n = 2$ **and** $\delta = (p-1)/4$. Notice that Lemma 2.3 with $s = (3-p)/4 > 0$ gives us

$$\|r^{-(p-3)/4} u\|_{L^\infty_r L^2_\omega} \le \sqrt{2} \|r^{-(p-1)/4} u\|^{1/2}_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|r^{-(p-1)/4} \partial_x u\|^{1/2}_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^2)} \ .$$

Then for $i = 1, 2$, we obtain by using Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|I[N[u]]\|_{E_i} + \|I[N[u]]\|_{LE_i} \\
\le\ & C \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|\partial_x^\alpha N[u]\|_{L^1([0,T];L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^2))} \\
\le\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-2)/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-(p-2)/2}\partial u \partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^1([0,T];L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^2))} \\
\le\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-2)/2} \|r^{-(p-3)/4}\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^\infty_x)} \\
& \times \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-(p-1)/4}\partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\
\le\ & C(\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-2)/2} \|r^{-(p-3)/4}\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^\infty_r L^2_\omega)} \\
& \times \sum_{|\alpha|=i-1} \|r^{-(p-1)/4}\partial_x^\alpha \partial u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\
\le\ & CT^{1-2\delta}(\|u\|_{E_1}\|u\|_{E_2})^{(p-2)/2}(\|u\|_{LE_1}\|u\|_{LE_2})^{1/2}\|u\|_{LE_i}\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.6) and Lemma 2.4.

The estimate (5.3) follows from the similar arguments by using (4.7) instead of (4.6). This completes the proof. ■

With these two Propositions 4.1 and 5.1 in hand, it will be easy to show Theorem 1.3. Setting

$$\Lambda_i := \|u_0\|_{\dot H^i(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|_{\dot H^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ i = 1, 2\ ,$$

we find by Propositions 4.1 and 5.1 that the mapping Φ, defined by (4.3), is a contraction mapping from $X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; T)$ into itself, provided that

$$C_7 T^{1-(n-1)(p-1)/2}(2C_1)^{p-1}(\Lambda_1\Lambda_2)^{(p-1)/2} \le 1/2$$

and

$$C_8 T^{1-(n-1)(p-1)/2}(4C_1)^{p-1}(\Lambda_1\Lambda_2)^{(p-1)/2} \le 1/2\ .$$

Define a positive constant C_9 by

$$C_9^{-(1-(n-1)(p-1)/2)} = \max(2C_8(4C_1)^{p-1}, 2C_7(2C_1)^{p-1})\ ,$$

and set T_* according to

$$C_9^{-(1-(n-1)(p-1)/2)} T_*^{1-(n-1)(p-1)/2}(\Lambda_1\Lambda_2)^{(p-1)/2} = 1\ ,$$

that is

$$T_* = C_9(\Lambda_1\Lambda_2)^{-\frac{p-1}{2-(n-1)(p-1)}}\ . \tag{5.9}$$

Since Φ is a contraction mapping of $X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; T_*)$, the unique fixed point $u \in X(2C_1\Lambda_1, 2C_1\Lambda_2; T_*)$ is the solution which we seek.

To complete the proof of Theroem 1.3, we also need to establish the uniqueness of u in X_{T_*}, and the regularity of u, i.e.,

$$\partial_t^i u \in C([0, T_*]; H^{2-i}(\mathbb{R}^n)), i = 0, 1. \tag{5.10}$$

First, for the proof of uniqueness, assume that there exists another solution $v \in X_{T_*}$, with the same initial data. Recall the estimates (5.4) and (5.5). If we restrict these solutions to small enough $0 < T < T_*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|r^{-\delta}\partial(u-v)\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} &= \|r^{-\delta}\partial(\Phi[u]-\Phi[v])\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\|r^{-\delta}\partial(u-v)\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} .\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the fact that u and v share the same initial data, we conclude that $u = v$ for $t \in [0, T]$, which shows the uniqueness.

By Remark 5.2, $1-(n-1)(p-1)/2+\delta-1/2>0$, and the fact that $r^{-\delta}\partial\partial_x u \in L^2([0,T_*];L^2_x)$ (and so

$$\|r^{-\delta}\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^2([0,T];L^2_x)} = o(1)$$

as $T \to 0+$), we see that $\partial\partial_x u(T)$ converges to $\partial\partial_x u(0)$ in L^2_x. This tells us that the continuity at $t = 0$. A similar argument will give us the continuity at any $t \in [0, T_*]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

6. Glassey conjecture when $n = 2$, $p > p_c$

For this case, it seems not enough for us to prove global results by applying the KSS type estimates, mainly because we do not have the favorable inhomogeneous estimates as (3.12) in Lemma 3.2.

Instead, we want to present a proof based on the recent generalized Strichartz estimates of Smith, Sogge and Wang [19] (with the previous radial estimates in Fang and Wang [2]).

Lemma 6.1 (Generalized Strichartz estimates)**.** *Let $n = 2$ and $q \in (2,\infty)$. For any solution $u = u(t,x)$ to the wave equation* (3.1)*, we have the following inequality with $s = 1 - 1/q$,*

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^q([0,\infty);L^\infty_r L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^2))} \le C_q(\|\partial_x u_0\|_{\dot H^s_x} + \|u_1\|_{\dot H^s_x} + \|F\|_{L^1_t \dot H^s_x}) , \tag{6.1}$$

where C is independent of the functions u_0, u_1 and F.

With these estimates, we are able to present a simple proof of Theorem 1.4. Let

$$\Lambda_i := \|u_0\|_{\dot H^i(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|_{\dot H^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ i = 1, 2 .$$

By using (4.6) and the energy estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial\Phi[u]\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\le C\Lambda_1 + C\|N[u]\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} \\ &\le C\Lambda_1 + C\|\partial u\|^{p-1}_{L^{p-1}_t L^\infty_x}\|\partial u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} ,\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial\partial_x\Phi[u]\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\le C\Lambda_2 + C\|\partial_x N[u]\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} \\ &\le C\Lambda_2 + C\|\partial u\|^{p-1}_{L^{p-1}_t L^\infty_x}\|\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} .\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Recall the convex inequality

$$\|f\|_{\dot H^{1-\theta}} \le \|f\|^\theta_{L^2}\|f\|^{1-\theta}_{\dot H^1},\ \theta \in [0,1],$$

together with (4.6), Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 2.4. We see that for $p>3$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial\Phi[u]\|_{L^{p-1}_t L^\infty_x} \\ \le\ &\|\partial\Phi[u]\|_{L^{p-1}_t L^\infty_r L^2_\omega} \\ \le\ &C\|\partial u(0)\|_{\dot H^{1-1/(p-1)}} + C\|N[u]\|_{L^1_t \dot H^{1-1/(p-1)}} \\ \le\ &C\Lambda_1^{1/(p-1)}\Lambda_2^{1-1/(p-1)} + C\|\partial u\|^{p-1}_{L^{p-1}_t L^\infty_x}\|\partial u\|^{1/(p-1)}_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\|\partial\partial_x u\|^{1-1/(p-1)}_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial(\Phi[u]-\Phi[v])\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\ \le\ &C\|N[u]-N[v]\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} \\ \le\ &C(\|\partial u\|_{L^{p-1}_t L^\infty_x} + \|\partial v\|_{L^{p-1}_t L^\infty_x})^{p-1}\|\partial(u-v)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Let $\epsilon_0>0$ be the number such that

$$C(4C\epsilon_0)^{p-1} = 1/2\ .$$

If

$$\epsilon = \Lambda_1^{1/(p-1)}\Lambda_2^{1-1/(p-1)} \le \epsilon_0\ ,$$

then we see that Φ is a contraction mapping in $Y(2C\Lambda_1, 2C\Lambda_2, 2C\epsilon)$. Here the complete space $Y(R_1,R_2,R_3)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} Y(R_1,R_2,R_3) = \ &\{u\in C_tH^1_{\rm rad}\cap C^1_tL^2_{\rm rad};\|\partial u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\le R_1, \\ &\|\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\le R_2,\ \|\partial u\|_{L^{p-1}_t L^\infty_x}\le R_3\} \end{aligned}$$

with the metric $\rho(u,v)=\|\partial(u-v)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$.

To prove the regularity, we only need to show the continuity at $t=0$. For that, since $\partial u\in L^{p-1}([0,\infty);L^\infty_x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial\partial_x(u(t)-u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le\ &\|\partial\partial_x I[N[u]](t)\|_{L^2_x} + \|\partial\partial_x(u^{(0)}(t)-u(0))\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le\ &\|\partial_x N[u]\|_{L^1([0,t];L^2_x)} + o(1) \\ \le\ &C\|\partial u\|^{p-1}_{L^{p-1}([0,t];L^\infty_x)}\|\partial\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} + o(1) = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $t\to 0+$. This tells us that $u\in C_t\dot H^2\cap C^1_t\dot H^1$.

For uniqueness, suppose that there exists another solution $v\in Y\cap C_tH^2\cap C^1_tH^1$, with the same initial data. Using the inequality (6.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial(u-v)\|_{C_t([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ =\ &\|\partial(\Phi[u]-\Phi[v])\|_{C_t([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ &C(\|\partial u\|_{L^{p-1}_t([0,T];L^\infty_x)} + \|\partial v\|_{L^{p-1}_t([0,T];L^\infty_x)})^{p-1}\|\partial(u-v)\|_{C_t([0,T];L^2_x)} \\ \le\ &o(1)\|\partial(u-v)\|_{C_t([0,T];L^2_x)} \end{aligned}$$

as $T\to 0+$. Thus by choosing $T>0$ small enough, we conclude that $u=v$ for $t\in[0,T]$, which shows the uniqueness. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] D. Fang, C. Wang, *Local well-posedness and ill-posendess on the equation of type* $\Box u = u^k(\partial u)^\alpha$. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **26** (2005), 361–378.

[2] D. Fang, C. Wang, *Some remarks on Strichartz estimates for homogeneous wave equation*, Nonlinear Analysis, **65** (2006), no. 3, 697–706.

[3] D. Fang, C. Wang, *Almost global existence for some semilinear wave equations with almost critical regularity*, arXiv:1007.0733v1.

[4] R. T. Glassey, MathReview to "Global behavior of solutions to nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions" of Sideris, Comm. Partial Differential Equations (1983).

[5] K. Hidano, K. Tsutaya, *Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for nonlinear wave equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **44** (1995), 1273–1305.

[6] K. Hidano, C. Wang, K. Yokoyama, *On almost global existence and local well-posedness for some* 3-D *quasi-linear wave equations*, arXiv: 1004.3349v1.

[7] K. Hidano, K. Yokoyama, *A remark on the almost global existence theorem of Keel, Smith and Sogge*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **48** (2005), 1–34.

[8] K. Hidano, K. Yokoyama, *Space-time* L^2*-estimates and life-span of the Klainerman-Machedon radial solutions to some semi-linear wave equations*, Differential Integral Equations, **19** (2006), 961–980.

[9] F. John, S. Klainerman, *Almost global existence to nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **37** (1984), no. 4, 443–455.

[10] S. Klainerman, *Uniform decay estimates and the Lorentz invariance of the classical wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), no. 3, 321–332.

[11] M. Keel, H. F. Smith, C. D. Sogge, *Almost global existence for some semilinear wave equations*, Dedicated to the memory of Thomas H. Wolff. J. Anal. Math. **87** (2002), 265–279.

[12] J. Metcalfe, C. D. Sogge, *Long-time existence of quasilinear wave equations exterior to star-shaped obstacles via energy methods*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **38** (2006), no. 1, pp.188–209.

[13] G. Ponce, T. C. Sideris, *Local regularity of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **18** (1993), 169–177.

[14] M. A. Rammaha, *Finite-time blow-up for nonlinear wave equations in high dimensions.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **12** (1987), no. 6, 677–700.

[15] M. A. Rammaha, *A note on a nonlinear wave equation in two and three space dimensions.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **22** (1997), no. 5-6, 799–810.

[16] J. Schaeffer, *Finite-time blow-up for* $u_{tt} - \Delta u = H(u_r, u_t)$. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), no. 5, 513–543.

[17] T. C. Sideris, *Global behavior of solutions to nonlinear wave equations in three dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **8** (1983), 1291–1323.

[18] H. F. Smith, C. D. Sogge, *Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping perturbations of the Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **25** (2000), 2171–2183.

[19] H. F. Smith, C. D. Sogge, C. Wang, *Strichartz estimates for Dirichlet-wave equations in two dimensions with applications*, arXiv:1012.3183. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., in press.

[20] C. D. Sogge, *Lectures on nonlinear wave equations. Second edition.* Boston: International Press, 2008.

[21] J. Sterbenz, *Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation*, With an appendix by Igor Rodnianski, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2005** No.4, 187–231.

[22] J. Sterbenz, *Global regularity and scattering for general non-linear wave equations. II.* (4+1) *dimensional Yang-Mills equations in the Lorentz gauge.* Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), 611–664.

[23] D. Tataru, *On the equation* $\Box u = |\nabla u|^2$ *in* $5+1$ *dimensions.* Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), 469–485.

[24] N. Tzvetkov, *Existence of global solutions to nonlinear massless Dirac system and wave equation with small data*, Tsukuba J. Math. **22** (1998), no. 1, 193–211.

[25] Y. Zhou, *Blow up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear wave equations*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, **22** (2001), no. 3, 275–280.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Mie University, 1577 Kurimamachiya-cho, Tsu, Mie 514-8507, Japan
E-mail address: `hidano@edu.mie-u.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
E-mail address: `wangcbo@gmail.com`

Hokkaido Institute of Technology, 7-15-4-1 Maeda, Teine-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 006-8585, Japan
E-mail address: `yokoyama@hit.ac.jp`